

Cost Plan Rev A

For

Works at Nash Road, Margate



For

Pipers Development Ltd

Project No. M2280

Version No.: REV A

Issue Date : 25 November 2019

Sawyer & Fisher
65 College Road
Maidstone
Kent, ME15 6SX
01622 679532

www.sawyerandfisher.co.uk

Pipers Development Ltd
Works at Nash Road, Margate
Cost Plan Rev A
25 November 2019
DOCUMENT CONTROL

This Document is categorised as follows:

Category	Details
Report Type:	Cost Plan Rev A
Report No:	REV A
Project Name:	Works at Nash Road, Margate
Project No.:	M2280
Security Classification:	Restricted
Author:	John Rogers

Document approved by:

Name	Position	Date
Glenn Wadsworth	Project Director	26 November 2019

This Document has been distributed to the following:

Name	Organisation	Role
Tim Mitford-Slade	Strutt & Parker	Property Consultant
Alister Hume	Hume Planning Consultancy Ltd	Planning Consultant
Neil Piper	Elephant Windows, Doors & Conservatories	Client

Pipers Development Ltd
Works at Nash Road, Margate
Cost Plan Rev A
25 November 2019

Executive Summary

Sawyer & Fisher have been commissioned to review the "Cost Plan Review" for Salmestone Grange produced by Allen Dadswell Construction Consultants dated September 2019.

We have reviewed the "Cost Plan Review" and commentary produced by ADCC. We acknowledge that in some instances costs have been apportioned under different Series between our breakdown and the breakdown produced by ADCC. We have taken these comments into consideration and have tried to compare costs on a like for like basis. We have reviewed the costs and commentary provided by ADCC and provided an additional column titled "Cost Plan Rev A" and also additional commentary against each item for review.

It is agreed and confirmed that the stopping of Nash Road and the priority shift at Manston Road / Shottendane Road had been excluded from our original estimate. We have included these costs within this report and accept the costs proposed by ADCC.

We can confirm that our costs were based at September 2019 and at this stage no allowance made for inflation. We had however allowed 5% for design development and also a 5% contingency allowance which are both reasonable allowances at this stage of the project.

We can confirm that our costs have been based on drawing 14-011-002 Rev B. ADCC confirm that the changes are minor and therefore at this stage we see no issues with evaluating costs on the basis of our assumptions to date. It would appear that drawing Rev C would only add cost at this stage. Given the basis of the discrepancy between the 2nr estimates we see no issue with agreeing on the basis of our costs which have been based on 14-011-002 Rev B. Sawyer & Fisher have yet to receive Rev C of the drawing.

We have allowed Design Fees at 5%. These are the contractor design fees under a design & build contract.

We continue to allow 7% for Main Contractor's OH&P. We do not accept that ADCC deem that this should be included within our rates. We also don't agree that this has been included in the ADCC rates. The ADCC rates are not sufficient to have included OH&P within their rates.

We continue to allow 5% for Design Development. ADCC deem this to be included within the contingency allowance. Design Development is not a contingency allowance. This allowance is for the development of the design from the current scheme through to a fully developed scheme and makes allowances for any additional items which will be included.

NASH ROAD, MARGATE**Order of Cost Estimate Rev A****for****Arterial road and connections to existing road network**

200 Series	Site clearance	£	55,973.00
300 Series	Fencing	£	25,000.00
400 Series	Road Restraint systems	£	-
500 Series	Drainage and services ducts	£	205,891.00
600 Series	Earthworks	£	425,654.00
700 Series	Pavements	£	562,496.00
1100 Series	Kerbs, Footways & Paved areas	£	185,531.00
1200 Series	Traffic signs and road markings	£	60,000.00
1300 Series	Road lighting columns	£	110,000.00
1400 Series	Electrical work	£	147,000.00
3000 Series	Landscaping	£	37,905.00
			<hr/>
		£	1,815,450.00
	Main contractor's preliminaries	£	558,000.00
	Traffic management	£	189,000.00
	Design fees	5% £	90,772.50
	Manston Road / Shottendane Road Priority Shift		132,998.50
	Nash Road Closure		204,813.00
			<hr/>
			2,991,034.00
	Main Contractor's OH&P	7% £	209,372.38
	Main Contractor risk allowance	5% £	149,551.70
	Design development	5% £	149,551.70
	Road Safety Audit works post completion		50,000.00
			<hr/>
		£	3,549,509.78
	Client risk allowance	5% £	177,475.49
	Client design fees	10% £	354,950.98
	Highway authority inspection fees	£	150,000.00
	Computed sum for maintenance post adoption	£	150,000.00
			<hr/>
		£	4,381,936.25
			<hr/> <hr/>

NASH ROAD, MARGATE

Order of Cost Estimate Rev A

for

Arterial road and connections to existing road network

Information used to prepare Order of Cost Estimate

C&A Consulting Engineers Ltd - Nash Road, Margate - Link Road - Phase 2 - Drawing No
14-011-002 Rev B

Assumptions/allowances

Removing existing trees allowance; £10k

General allowance for other fencing; £10k

Allowance for upgrade to existing drainage network to facilitate these works; £20k

Allowance for lowering or diverting existing services; £50k

Allowance for disposal of non-inert material; 25% of excavation volume

Allowance for soft spots; £50k

Allowance for re-engineering ground; £50k

Allowance for phasing works to maintain use of Nash Road; £250k

Allowance for traffic signage; £50k

Allowance for road markings; £5k

Assumed drainage and existing services are adjacent/close to the proposed works

Exclusions

Traffic signals

Pedestrian crossings

Archaeological works

Ecological works

Attenuation of drainage

VAT

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

Project: Nash Road, Margate		<u>Rate build</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC</u>	<u>S&F Cost</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Client: TBC		<u>up</u>					<u>Costs</u>	<u>Plan Rev</u>	
Series: 200 Site Clearance								<u>A</u>	
	General Site Clearance								
	General site clearance		10,000	m ²	1.00	10,000	20,450	20,450	We were unaware of the retaining walls to be broken out therefore this is accepted.
	Breaking up existing kerbs		300	m	20.00	6,000	6,600	6,600	Additional kerbs to be broken out and modifications to driveways accepted.
	Breaking up existing carriageway		2,000	m ²	15.00	30,000	13,923	13,923	The principle here is the fundamental difference between S&F costs and the ADCC costs. We have assumed that any existing roads will be replaced and ADCC have assumed that it can be reused and adopted. We are happy to accept this approach if KCC confirm that they are happy to accept that the road is reused and adopted. My client will require written confirmation from KCC.
	Breaking up existing footpaths		300	m ²	10.00	3,000	0	0	
	Removal of existing trees, bushes & hedges								
	Removing hedges			item		5,000	5,000	5,000	
	Removing trees; allowance			item		10,000	1,000	10,000	Extent of trees to be removed was not known at the time of producing our cost estimate. Our allowance also would include any arboricultural works required to the existing trees. Our allowance is therefore a reasonable at this stage.
Carried to Summary						64,000	46,973	55,973	

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate build up</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC Costs</u>	<u>S&F Cost Plan Rev A</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project:	Nash Road, Margate								
Client:	TBC								
Series	300 Fencing								
	Fencing								
	Fencing to either side of junction of new road with Nash Road		200	m	50.00	10,000	0	10,000	This is permanent fencing to define Highway boundary as part of a landscaping scheme.
	Fencing to railway side of new arterial prior to connection with existing road network		100	m	50.00	5,000	0	5,000	This is permanent fencing to define Highway boundary as part of a landscaping scheme.
	General allowance for other fencing			item		10,000	7,500	10,000	With no landscaping scheme currently available it is a reasonable assumption to make that some form of pedestrian barrier maybe required. An allowance of £10,000.00 is a reasonable allowance to make at this stage of the project.
Carried to Summary						25,000	7,500	25,000	

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up											
Project:	Nash Road, Margate			<u>Rate build</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC</u>	<u>S&F Cost</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Client:	TBC			<u>up</u>					<u>Costs</u>	<u>Plan Rev</u>	
Series	400 Road Restraint System									<u>A</u>	
			Road restraint system								
			Restraint barrier along re-aligned Nash Road to back of existing properties		200	m	150.00	30,000	0	0	Accepted that this is not required along Manston Road.
								Carried to Summary	30,000	-	-

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate build up</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC Costs</u>	<u>S&F Cost Plan Rev A</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project:	Nash Road, Margate								
Client:	TBC								
Series	500 Drainage & Services								
	Highway drainage								
	Connection to existing strategic drainage system			item		20,000	17,500	17,500	Accepted.
	Main drain run		535	m	125.00	66,875	84,391	84,391	ADCC quantity of 650m is accepted.
	Branches to main drain run; single branch every 15m of main run		40	m	450.00	18,000	12,600	12,600	ADCC quantity of 3.5m / gully is accepted.
	Gullies		40	nr	150.00	6,000	15,400	15,400	ADCC rate is accepted.
	Manholes		15	nr	3,000.00	45,000	26,000	26,000	ADCC rate is accepted.
	Existing services								
	Allowance for lowering/diverting existing services			item		50,000	50,000	50,000	
Carried to Summary						205,875	205,891	205,891	

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

Project: Nash Road, Margate		Rate build	Qty	Unit	Rate	Total	ADCC	S&F Cost	Comments
Client: TBC		up					Costs	Plan Rev	
Series 600 Earthworks								A	
	Topsoil strip								
	Strip topsoil; 150 thick; set aside for reuse in spoil heaps		10,000	m ²	1.00	10,000	13,604	10,000	The area is for the new roundabout and new road to the highway boundary.
	Strip subsoil; 300 thick; set aside for reuse in spoil heaps		10,000	m ²	2.00	20,000	0	20,000	Retaining the subsoil is not viable and will also need removing.
	Earthworks								
	Assumed site does not require cut/fill and the road will be laid to approx existing site levels								
	Excavate to reduce levels; assume 0.5m		5,000	m ³	5.00	25,000	19,368	19,368	ADCC rate accepted.
	Dispose off site		5,000	m ³	40.00	200,000	172,536	172,536	ADCC rate accepted.
	EO for disposing of non-inert material (assume 25%)		1,250	m ³	75.00	93,750	14,528	93,750	We have had recent experience on sites where high volumes of material have been classified as non-inert material. There are no site investigations in particular geo-tech investigations and therefore we believe this is a reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Compaction		10,000	m ²	1.00	10,000	1,125	10,000	We believe we have made a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Allowance for soft spots			item		50,000	23,103	50,000	We believe we have made a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Allowance for re-engineering ground to improve CBR (extent if required not known)			item		50,000	0	50,000	As previously stated there is no site investigations available at present therefore it is reasonable to assume some form of ground engineering will need to be undertaken. This could involve over digging and laying the ground back in layers. This would not be a substitute for the capping layer.

		Topsoiling					
	Topsoiling to verge; 150 thick	5,700	m ²	1.50	8,550	5,332	We have allowed for the landscaped area at back edge of footpaths. Our rates are very reasonable for this.
	Subsoil to verge; 300 thick	5,700	m ²	2.50	14,250	3,750	We have allowed for the landscaped area at back edge of footpaths. Our rates are very reasonable for this.
Carried to Summary					481,550	253,346	425,654

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate build up</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC Costs</u>	<u>S&F Cost Plan Rev A</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project:	Nash Road, Margate								
Client:	TBC								
Series:	700 Roads & Pavements								
	<u>New Road</u>								
	Sub-base & capping layer								
	Capping layer to carriageway pavement; assume 400mm thick		1,520	m³	40.00	60,800	59,708	60,800	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
	Type 1 sub-base to carriageway pavement; assume 150mm thick		570	m³	50.00	28,500	97,715	28,500	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
	Asphalt/Macacdam pavement								
	Base course to carriageway		3,800	m²	50.00	190,000	244,260	190,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately. We have allowed for 200mm thick.
	Binder course to carriageway		3,800	m²	15.00	57,000	86,848	57,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
	Wearing course to carriageway		3,800	m²	20.00	76,000	81,420	76,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
	Speed humps		7	nr	2,000.00	14,000	25,000	14,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
	<u>Roundabout</u>								
	Sub-base & capping layer								
	Capping layer to carriageway pavement; assume 400mm thick		320	m³	40.00	12,800	0	12,800	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.

Type 1 sub-base to carriageway pavement; assume 150mm thick	120	m ²	50.00	6,000	0	6,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
Asphalt/Macacdam pavement							
Base course to carriageway	800	m ²	50.00	40,000	0	40,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
Binder course to carriageway	800	m ²	15.00	12,000	0	12,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
Wearing course to carriageway	800	m ²	20.00	16,000	0	16,000	ADCC have included the new road and the roundabout costs together. We have priced these separately.
<u>Existing road</u>							
Sub-base & capping layer							
Capping layer to carriageway pavement; assume 400mm thick	1,000	m ³	40.00	40,000	0	0	The principle here is the fundamental difference between S&F costs and the ADCC costs. We have assumed that any existing roads will be replaced and ADCC have assumed that it can be reused and adopted. We are happy to accept this approach if KCC confirm that they are happy to accept that the road is reused and adopted. My client will require written confirmation from KCC.
Type 1 sub-base to carriageway pavement; assume 150mm thick	375	m ³	50.00	18,750	0	0	The principle here is the fundamental difference between S&F costs and the ADCC costs. We have assumed that any existing roads will be replaced and ADCC have assumed that it can be reused and adopted. We are happy to accept this approach if KCC confirm that they are happy to accept that the road is reused and adopted. My client will require written confirmation from KCC.

		Asphalt/Macadam pavement						
								The principle here is the fundamental difference between S&F costs and the ADCC costs. We have assumed that any existing roads will be replaced and ADCC have assumed that it can be reused and adopted. We are happy to accept this approach if KCC confirm that they are happy to accept that the road is reused and adopted. My client will require written confirmation from KCC.
		Base course to carriageway	2,500	m ²	50.00	125,000	0	0
		Binder course to carriageway	2,500	m ²	15.00	37,500	28,616	28,616 Accepted.
		Wearing course to carriageway	2,500	m ²	20.00	50,000	14,700	14,700 Accepted.
		Tie-in to existing		item		50,000	6,080	6,080 Accepted.
		Allowance for phasing works		item		250,000	0	0 Accepted.
		Carried to Summary				1,084,350	644,347	562,496

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC</u>	<u>S&F Cost</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project: Nash Road, Margate		<u>build up</u>					<u>Costs</u>	<u>Plan Rev</u>	
Client: TBC								<u>A</u>	
Series: 1100 Kerbs, Footways & Paved areas									
	Kerbs								
	PCC HB2 kerbs to carriageways		1,700	m	35.00	59,500	49,560	49,560	ADCC costs accepted.
	PCC edgings to footways		1,700	m	20.00	34,000	20,560	20,560	ADCC costs accepted.
	Footways								
	Sub-base to footway; assume 150mm thick		306	m ³	50.00	15,300	0	0	ADCC costs accepted.
	Footway surfacing; 100mm thick 2 course		2,040	m ²	30.00	61,200	112,951	112,951	ADCC costs accepted.
	Tactile paving to crossing points including drop kerbs		12	nr	2,000.00	24,000	2,460	2,460	ADCC costs accepted.
Carried to Summary						194,000	185,531	185,531	

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate build up</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC Costs</u>	<u>S&F Cost Plan Rev</u> <u>A</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project:	Nash Road, Margate								
Client:	TBC								
Series	1200 Traffic Signs & Road marking								
	Traffic signs								
		Allowance for traffic signage		item		50,000	30,000	50,000	Extent of signage not yet known. We believe our allowance is reasonable.
		Illuminated bollards		item		5,000	6,400	5,000	We believe our allowance is reasonable.
	Road markings								
		Allowance for road markings		item		5,000	5,000	5,000	
	Traffic signals								
		Asummed traffic signals or pedestrain crossings are not required				excluded	0	0	
Carried to Summary						60,000	41,400	60,000	

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate build up</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC Costs</u>	<u>S&F Cost Plan Rev A</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project:	Nash Road, Margate								
Client:	TBC								
Series	1300 Road Lighting columns								
	Road Lighting columns (all provisional)								
		Road lighting columns; assumed qty	40	nr	5,000.00	200,000	43,200	100,000	Road lighting scheme is currently not available therefore quantities are based on an initial assessment of likely number of road lighting columns. We accept out initial assessment might have been slightly high therefore we have halved the quantity.
		Feeder pillars; assumed qty at 1/5nr columns	8	nr	2,500.00	20,000	5,000	10,000	Road lighting scheme is currently not available therefore quantities are based on an initial assessment of likely number of road lighting columns. We accept out initial assessment might have been slightly high therefore we have halved the quantity.
						Carried to Summary	220,000	48,200	110,000

Order of Cost Estimate Back-up

		<u>Rate build up</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>ADCC Costs</u>	<u>S&F Cost Plan Rev. A</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Project:	Nash Road, Margate								
Client:	TBC								
Series	1400 Electrical work for road lighting & Traffic signs								
	Electrical work for road lighting & Traffic signs (all provisional)								
	Trenching for street lighting including duct		700	m	50.00	35,000	24,500	35,000	We believe that our assessment, based on a recently completed scheme, is a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Cabling to street lighting in duct		700	m	40.00	28,000	0	28,000	We believe that our assessment, based on a recently completed scheme, is a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Cabling street lighting column and connection		40	nr	1,000.00	40,000	7,200	40,000	We believe that our assessment, based on a recently completed scheme, is a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Lamp to street lighting column		40	nr	500.00	20,000	0	20,000	We believe that our assessment, based on a recently completed scheme, is a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Connection to feeder pillar		8	nr	500.00	4,000	0	4,000	We believe that our assessment, based on a recently completed scheme, is a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
	Allowance for electrical connection to new feeder pillar from existing supply			item		20,000	0	20,000	We believe that our assessment, based on a recently completed scheme, is a fair and reasonable assessment at this stage.
Carried to Summary						147,000	31,700	147,000	